



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/748,525	12/29/2003	Tae-Woong Koo	21058/0206735-US0	9348
75172	7590	08/25/2008	EXAMINER	
Client 21058			POHNERT, STEVEN C	
c/o DARBY & DARBY P.C.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P.O. BOX 770			1634	
CHURCH STREET STATION				
NEW YORK, NY 10008-0770				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
08/25/2008		PAPER		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b><i>Interview Summary</i></b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/748,525 <b>Examiner</b> Steven C. Pohnert	KOO ET AL. <b>Art Unit</b> 1634	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Steven C. Pohnert. (3) Tae-Woong Koo.

(2) martin Sulsky. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 18 August 2008.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Han and Cronin.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Inventor Koo explained differences between the instant invention and the art of record. The examiner agreed that the specification does describe a different invention than the art of record. The examiner suggested amendment of the claims to overcome the art of record. The examiner continued discussion of claim language with applicant's representative. No agreements were reached.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Steven C Pohnert/ Examiner, Art Unit 1634	
---	--